Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/663,383	PRASAD ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Etienne P. LeRoux	2161
All Participants:	Status of Application	n: <u>Allowed</u>
(1) Etienne P. LeRoux.	(3)	
(2) Christian A Fox.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 July 2006	Time: <u>9:00 am</u>	
	☐ Applicant's representative) No	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	HE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant provide a significant provide and directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant to the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant provide a significant provide and did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	ation. The examiner will provide a separate record of the substance	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	Applicant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A telephone call was made to Mr. Christian Fox to propose amending the independent claims (except claim 13) in order to advance prosecutioin. Agreement was obtained regarding examiner's proposed amendment and consequently Mr. Fox provided a Supplemental Amendment (included in Office action).